arXiv:1411.1984v1 [math.NT] 7 Nov 2014

Diophantine approximation and the equation $(a^2cx^k - 1)(b^2cy^k - 1) = (abcz^k - 1)^2$

Eva G. Goedhart and Helen G. Grundman

Abstract

We prove that the Diophantine equation $(a^2cx^k - 1)(b^2cy^k - 1) = (abcz^k - 1)^2$ has no solutions in positive integers with x, y, $z > 1$, $k \geq 7$ and $a^2x^k \neq b^2y^k$.

1 Introduction

In 2003, Bugeaud and Dujella [4] asked when there exists a set of m positive integers such that one more than the product of any two of the integers is a kth power, with $k \geq 3$. Later, Bugeaud [3] considered the case in which the set is of the form $\{1, A, B\}$, with $1 < A < B$. He noted that the desired property is equivalent to the existence of an integer solution to the equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = (z^k - 1)$ (with appropriate restrictions), and used this to prove that this is only possible if $k \leq 74$. He also considered variations of the problem, one of which leads to the equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = (z^k - 1)^2$. Bennett [1] proved that each of these equations has no integer solutions (again, with appropriate restrictions) if $k \geq 4$, and that this bound on k is sharp.

Of interest here is a 2014 generalization of Bennett's result by Zhang [5], in which it is shown that the equation $(ax^k - 1)(by^k - 1) = (abz^k - 1)$ has no solutions with a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $|x| > 1$, $|y| > 1$, and $k \geq 4$. In this paper, we consider a similar modification of the equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = (z^k - 1)^2$ and prove that it has no solutions with $k \geq 7$.

Theorem 1. *Let a, b, c, and k be positive integers with $k \geq 7$. The equation*

$$(a^2cx^k - 1)(b^2cy^k - 1) = (abcz^k - 1)^2 \tag{1}$$

has no solution in integers with x, y, $z > 1$ and $a^2x^k \neq b^2y^k$.

We prove Theorem 1 in the following section. Our main tools are continued fractions and the following lemma from [2], providing a bound on how well one can approximate certain algebraic numbers by rational numbers. For $n \geq 2$, define

$$\mu_n = \prod_{\substack{p \mid n \\ p \text{ prime}}} p^{1/(p-1)}.$$

Lemma 2 (Bennett)**.** *If n and N are positive integers with $n \geq 3$ and*

$$\left(\sqrt{N} + \sqrt{N+1}\right)^{2(n-2)} > (n\mu_n)^n,$$

then, for any p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\left| \sqrt[n]{1 + \frac{1}{N}} - \frac{p}{q} \right| > (8n\mu_n N)^{-1} q^{-\lambda}$$

with

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{\log\left(\left(\sqrt{N} + \sqrt{N+1}\right)^2 n\mu_n\right)}{\log\left(\left(\sqrt{N} + \sqrt{N+1}\right)^2 / n\mu_n\right)}.$$

To summarize our proof, we first assume that a solution, (k, a, b, c, x, y, z), to equation (1) exists, and prove in Lemma 3 that it yields a sufficiently good rational approximation of

$$\sqrt[k]{1 + \frac{1}{a^2 c x^k - 1}}$$

so that, for large values of k, a, c, or x, Lemma 2 yields a contradiction. For the finite number of remaining cases, we prove that one of the continued fraction convergents of

$$\frac{1}{x}\sqrt[k]{1 + \frac{1}{a^2 c x^k - 1}} = \sqrt[k]{\frac{a^2 c}{a^2 c x^k - 1}}$$

must equal y/z^2, and derive a bound on the index of the convergent. Computer calculations then yield a contradiction in each of these cases.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let a, b, c, and k, as in Theorem 1, be given, and suppose that x, y, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfy equation (1) with x, y, $x > 1$ and $a^2 x^k \neq b^2 y^k$. Since $(a^2 c x^k - 1)(b^2 c y^k - 1)$ is a square, there exist positive integers u, v, and w such that

$$a^2 c x^k - 1 = u v^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b^2 c y^k - 1 = u w^2. \tag{2}$$

Then, by equation (1), $uvw = abcz^k - 1$. We assume, without loss of generality (since $a^2 x^k \neq b^2 y^k$), that $v < w$.

Since $w > v$, $v^2 + w^2 = (w - v)^2 + 2vw > 2vw$ and so $(a^2 c x^k)(b^2 c y^k) = (uv^2+1)(uw^2+1) = (uvw)^2 + u(v^2+w^2) + 1 > (uvw)^2 + 2uvw + 1 = (uvw+1)^2 = (abcz^k)^2$. Hence $xy > z^2$.

We now verify that the hypothesis of Lemma 2 holds with $N = a^2 c x^k - 1 = uv^2$. Note that $uv^2 = a^2 c x^k - 1 \geq 2^k - 1 \geq 127$. For $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, since $\sqrt{1 - 1/t}$

increases as t increases, we have $\sqrt{1-1/(uv^2+1)} \geq \sqrt{1-1/128} > .99$. Thus,

$$\left(\sqrt{uv^2}+\sqrt{uv^2+1}\right)^{2(k-2)} = \left(\left(\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{uv^2+1}}+1\right)\sqrt{uv^2+1}\right)^{2(k-2)}$$
$$> \left((.99+1)\left(2^{k/2}\right)\right)^{2(k-2)}.$$

Since $1.99^{1.01} > 2$ and $k \geq 7$ implies that $2^{k-.6} > k^2$,

$$\left((.99+1)\left(2^{k/2}\right)\right)^{2(k-2)} > 2^{2(k-2)/1.01+k(k-2)} > \left(2^{k-.6}\right)^k > \left(k^2\right)^k > \left(k\mu_k\right)^k.$$

Therefore, we can apply Lemma 2 with $N = uv^2$. Letting

$$\alpha = \sqrt[k]{1+\frac{1}{uv^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{xy}{z^2}$$

we find that

$$|\alpha-\beta| = \left|\sqrt[k]{1+\frac{1}{uv^2}} - \frac{xy}{z^2}\right| > (8k\mu_k uv^2)^{-1} z^{-2\lambda}, \tag{3}$$

with

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{\log\left(\left(\sqrt{uv^2}+\sqrt{uv^2+1}\right)^2 k\mu_k\right)}{\log\left(\left(\sqrt{uv^2}+\sqrt{uv^2+1}\right)^2/k\mu_k\right)}. \tag{4}$$

Note that $\lambda = \Lambda_k(a^2cx^k)$, where, for $K \geq 7$ and $D \geq 2^k$,

$$\Lambda_K(D) = 2 + \frac{2\log(K\mu_K)}{2\log(\sqrt{D-1}+\sqrt{D}) - \log(K\mu_K)}.$$

Since $x \geq 2$ and, for each value of K, Λ_K is a decreasing function, we have that

$$\lambda = \Lambda_k(a^2cx^k) \leq \Lambda_k(2^k). \tag{5}$$

Now, for $K \geq 7$, let

$$\Lambda(K) = 2 + \frac{6\log K}{2(K+1)\log 2 - 3\log K}.$$

Since $k \geq 7$, $\mu_k \leq \sqrt{k}$ and so

$$\lambda \leq \Lambda_k(2^k) \leq 2 + \frac{2\log(k^{3/2})}{2\log\left(2^{(k-1)/2}+2^{k/2}\right) - \log k^{3/2}} < \Lambda(k). \tag{6}$$

Next, we compute an upper bound for $w^{k-2\lambda}$ (and thus for w), as follows. Writing α and β in terms of u, v, and w, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^k - \beta^k &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{uv^2}\right) - \frac{(uv^2+1)(uw^2+1)}{(uvw+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{uv^2(2uvw - uv^2) + (2uvw+1)}{uv^2(uvw+1)^2},\end{aligned}$$

which is clearly positive, since $w > v$. Hence, $\alpha > \beta$. Further, from the above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^k - \beta^k &< \frac{uv^2(2uvw+2) + (2uvw+2)}{uv^2(uvw+1)^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{uvw+1}\left(1 + \frac{1}{uv^2}\right) = \frac{2\alpha^k}{uvw+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Since $xy > z^2$, we have $\alpha > \beta > 1$, and so

$$\alpha^k - \beta^k = (\alpha - \beta)\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha^{k-1-i}\beta^i > k(\alpha - \beta).$$

Combining this with inequalities (3) and (7), we have

$$(8k\mu_k uv^2)^{-1} z^{-2\lambda} < \alpha - \beta < \frac{2\alpha^k}{k(uvw+1)} < \frac{2\alpha^k}{kuvw}. \tag{8}$$

We also have that

$$z^k = \frac{uvw+1}{abc} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{uvw}\right) uvw < \alpha^k uvw.$$

Using this to eliminate z in inequality (8), then solving for $w^{k-2\lambda}$ yields

$$w^{k-2\lambda} < 2^{4k}\mu_k^k \alpha^{k(k+2\lambda)} u^{2\lambda} v^{k+2\lambda}. \tag{9}$$

To compute a lower bound for w^2 (and thus for w) we note that $xy > z^2$ implies that $xy \geq z^2 + 1$. Using this with (2) and the binomial theorem, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}uv^2 + uw^2 &= (uv^2+1)(uw^2+1) - (uvw+1)^2 + 2uvw \\ &> (a^2cx^k)(b^2cy^k) - (abcz^k)^2 \\ &\geq a^2b^2c^2(z^2+1)^k - a^2b^2c^2z^{2k} \\ &> a^2b^2c^2kz^{2(k-1)} + a^2b^2c^2\frac{k(k-1)}{2}z^{2(k-2)}.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now, $k \geq 7$ and a, b, $c \geq 1$ imply that $a^2b^2c^2\frac{k(k-1)}{2}z^{2(k-2)} > abcz^k = uvw + 1 > uv^2$. Thus, inequality (10) implies that $uw^2 > a^2b^2c^2kz^{2(k-1)}$. So

$$(uw^2)^k > (a^2b^2c^2kz^{2(k-1)})^k \geq k^k(abcz^k)^{2(k-1)} > k^k(uvw)^{2(k-1)}.$$

Thus,

$$w^2 > k^k u^{(k-2)} v^{2(k-1)}. \tag{11}$$

Combining these two bounds on w, inequalities (9) and (11), we eliminate w to obtain

$$k^{k(k-2\lambda)} u^{(k-2)(k-2\lambda)} v^{2(k-1)(k-2\lambda)} < 2^{8k} \mu_k^{2k} \alpha^{2k(k+2\lambda)} u^{4\lambda} v^{2(k+2\lambda)},$$

and so

$$\left(uv^2\right)^{(k-2\lambda-2)} < 2^8 \mu_k^2 \alpha^{2(k+2\lambda)} k^{-(k-2\lambda)}. \tag{12}$$

We use this inequality to prove that $(k, a^2cx^k) \in S$, where

$$S = \{(7,d) | d < 1035 \cdot 2^7\} \cup \{(8,d) | d < 10 \cdot 2^8\}.$$

Lemma 3. *If x, y, $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfy equation (1), then $(k, a^2cx^k) \in S$.*

Proof. Suppose that $k \geq 10$. Then, by inequality (6), $\lambda < \Lambda(k)$ and, since Λ is a decreasing function, $\Lambda(k) \leq \Lambda(10)$. A direct calculation then yields that $\lambda < 3.7$. Also, $\mu_k \leq \sqrt{k}$ and $uv^2 = a^2cx^k - 1 \geq 2^{10} - 1$. Thus, since $\alpha^k = 1 + \frac{1}{uv^2}$, inequality (12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 63 < (2^{10}-1)^{.6} &< \left(uv^2\right)^{(k-2\lambda-2)} < 2^8 \mu_k^2 \left(\alpha^k\right)^{2+4\lambda/k} k^{-(k-2\lambda)} \\ &\leq 2^8 \left(\alpha^k\right)^{2+4\lambda/k} k^{-(k-2\lambda-1)} < 2^8 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{10}-1}\right)^{3.48} 10^{-1.6} < 7, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Next suppose that $k = 9$. By inequality (5) and a calculation, $\lambda \leq \Lambda_9(2^9) < 3.2$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 42 < (2^9-1)^{.6} &< \left(uv^2\right)^{(k-2\lambda-2)} < 2^8 \mu_k^2 \left(\alpha^k\right)^{2+4\lambda/k} k^{-(k-2\lambda)} \\ &< 2^8 \cdot 3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^9-1}\right)^{3.43} 9^{-2.6} < 3, \end{aligned}$$

also a contradiction.

Now, if $k = 8$ and $(k, a^2cx^k) \notin S$, then, $a^2cx^k \geq 10 \cdot 2^8$. Since Λ_8 is a decreasing function, a direct calculation yields $\lambda \leq \Lambda_8(10 \cdot 2^8) < 2.86$. As above, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 9 < (10 \cdot 2^8 - 1)^{.28} &< \left(uv^2\right)^{(k-2\lambda-2)} < 2^8 \mu_k^2 \left(\alpha^k\right)^{2+4\lambda/k} k^{-(k-2\lambda)} \\ &< 2^8 \cdot 2^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{10 \cdot 2^8 - 1}\right)^{3.43} 8^{-2.28} < 9, \end{aligned}$$

again, a contradiction.

Finally, if $k = 7$ and $(k, a^2cx^k) \notin S$, then we have $a^2cx^k \geq 1035 \cdot 2^7$ and so $\lambda \leq \Lambda_7(1035 \cdot 2^7) < 2.4162$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 7.218 < (1035 \cdot 2^7 - 1)^{.1676} &< \left(uv^2\right)^{(k-2\lambda-2)} < 2^8 \mu_k^2 \left(\alpha^k\right)^{2+4\lambda/k} k^{-(k-2\lambda)} \\ &< 2^8 7^{1/3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{1035 \cdot 2^7 - 1}\right)^{3.3807} 7^{-2.1676} < 7.213, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

Now that we have the values of (k, a^2cx^k) restricted to a finite set, we employ continued fractions, in particular, that of α/x. For ease of notation, let

$$C = C_{k,a,c} = \left(\frac{2^k ac - 2}{2^k ac}\right)^{1/k}.$$

Returning to equation (1), we have $(a^2cx^k - 1)b^2cy^k - a^2cx^k = (abcz^k)^2 - 2abcz^k$, and so $(uv^2)b^2cy^k > a^2b^2c^2z^k(z^k - 2/(abc)) \geq a^2b^2c^2z^k(z^k - 2/(ac))$. Multiplying each side by $x^k/(uv^2b^2cz^{2k})$ yields

$$\beta^k = \frac{x^k y^k}{z^{2k}} > \frac{a^2cx^k}{uv^2} \cdot \frac{z^k - 2/(ac)}{z^k} \geq C^k\alpha^k.$$

Thus, $\alpha > \beta > C\alpha$ and so, for each $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $\alpha^{k-1-i}\beta^i > C^{k-1}\alpha^{k-1}$. Combining this with inequality (7), we have

$$\frac{2\alpha^k}{uvw+1} > \alpha^k - \beta^k > (\alpha - \beta)kC^{k-1}\alpha^{k-1}$$

and, solving for $\alpha - \beta$, we obtain

$$\alpha - \beta < \frac{2\alpha}{k(uvw+1)}C^{-k+1} \leq \frac{2\alpha}{kacz^k}C^{-k+1}. \tag{13}$$

Thus

$$\left|\frac{\alpha}{x} - \frac{y}{z^2}\right| = \frac{\alpha - \beta}{x} < \frac{2\alpha}{kacxz^k}C^{-k+1} < \frac{1}{2z^4}$$

and, therefore, y/z^2 is a continued fraction convergent of α/x.

Using standard notation, let $[a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ be the continued fraction expansion of α/x, with corresponding convergents denoted by p_i/q_i. Fix $J \geq 0$ such that $y/z^2 = p_J/q_J$ and note that, since $\alpha > \beta$, J is even. Further, from the definition of α, we have that $\alpha < 2$ and so $\alpha/x < 1$. Hence, $p_0/q_0 = a_0 = 0$. Since $y > 0$, $J \neq 0$.

Combining inequalities (3) and (13),

$$(8k\mu_k uv^2)^{-1}z^{-2\lambda} < \frac{2\alpha}{kacz^k}C^{-k+1}$$

and thus

$$z^{k-2\lambda} < 16\mu_k\alpha\frac{uv^2}{ac}C^{-k+1}.$$

Since $\gcd(p_J, q_J) = 1$, this implies that

$$q_J \leq z^2 < \left(16\mu_k\alpha\frac{uv^2}{ac}C^{-k+1}\right)^{2/(k-2\lambda)}. \tag{14}$$

For each possible (k, a, c, x) such that $(k, a^2cx^k) \in S$, we compute this upper bound for q_J. Since the q_i form an increasing sequence, a direct computation of the convergents of α/x yields a finite number of possible values for J.

Now, from inequality (11), $(uvw)^2 > k^k u^k v^{2k}$ and so $abcz^k > uvw > k^{k/2}u^{k/2}v^k$. Reducing equation (1) modulo b yields $a^2cx^k \equiv 0 \pmod{b}$ and hence $b \leq a^2cx^k$. Thus, $z^k > (\sqrt{kuv^2})^k a^{-3}c^{-2}x^{-k}$ implying that

$$z > \sqrt{kuv^2}a^{-3/k}c^{-2/k}x^{-1}. \tag{15}$$

Using standard properties of continued fractions,

$$\frac{\alpha}{x} - \frac{y}{z^2} > \frac{1}{q_J^2(a_{J+1}+2)} \geq \frac{1}{z^4(a_{J+1}+2)}.$$

Again, using inequality (13), we have that

$$\frac{1}{z^4(a_{J+1}+2)} < \frac{2\alpha}{kacxz^k}C^{-k+1}$$

and so, using inequality (15),

$$a_{J+1} > \frac{kacxz^{k-4}}{2\alpha}C^{k-1} - 2 > \frac{kacx}{2\alpha}\left(\frac{\sqrt{kuv^2}}{a^{3/k}c^{2/k}x}\right)^{k-4} C^{k-1} - 2. \tag{16}$$

But a direct calculation of a_{J+1} for each possible (k, a, c, x, J) yields a contradiction to inequality (16).

Thus, there is no such solution to equation (1).

References

[1] M. A. Bennett, "The Diophantine equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = (z^k - 1)^t$", *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **18** (2007), no. 4, 507–525.

[2] M. A. Bennett, "Explicit lower bounds for rational approximation to algebraic numbers", *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, **75**, no. 1, (1997), 63–78.

[3] Y. Bugeaud, "On the Diophantine equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = (z^k - 1)$", *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **15** (2004), no. 1, 21–28.

[4] Y. Bugeaud and A. Dujella, "On a problem of Diophantus for higher powers", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **135** (2003), no. 1, 1–10.

[5] Z. Zhang, "The Diophantine equation $(ax^k - 1)(by^k - 1) = abz^k - 1$", *J. Number Theory*, **136** (2014), 252–260.

Department of Mathematics
Bryn Mawr College
Bryn Mawr, PA 19010
egoedhart@brynmawr.edu
grundman@brynmawr.edu